

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: A303 Stonehenge Scheme reapplication
Date: 03 April 2022 21:43:28

I am a member of the public with an interest in archaeology who wants to see the Stonehenge World Heritage Site remain intact. I am also interested in cost-benefit analysis of road schemes, my husband being an expert on this for the past forty-odd years, but I have picked up a lot along the way from his work and studied cost-benefit myself as part of economics degree work.

I am concerned to see that National Highways has not made any changes to the A303 Scheme in its reapplication.

It has not taken the 2021 World Heritage Committee Decision into account;

It has not acknowledged that the Secretary of State found that the Scheme's impact on the proposed western cutting area would be "significantly adverse";

It has not fully assessed alternative routes that would be less damaging to the World Heritage Site, including the tunnel option;

It has not explored alternatives to hard engineering solutions in the context of safeguarding and enhancing the World Heritage Site;

It has not updated the carbon assessment and taken into account the urgent need to discourage road traffic and reduce emissions, not to increase traffic and emissions as any new Stonehenge road scheme would;

It has not taken into account the Environment Act 2021 which sets new ambitions around nature recovery;

It has not updated the cost estimates or the cost-benefit case.

The need for current cost and benefit estimates, UNESCO's position and new information since the Examination closed in October 2019 are compelling grounds for a re-examination by an independent panel before the Secretary of State redetermines an application for a Development Consent Order for the very same road scheme. I ask that the Development Consent Order be re-examined.

Claire Nash
[REDACTED]